

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Valexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Virginia 2000

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR .	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,018	<u> </u>	12/31/2003	Chung-l Lee	9355		
25859	7590	12/07/2006		EXAMINER		
	CHUNG		HO, BINH VAN			
	IN INTERN MOREX DI	ATIONAL, INC. RIVE		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	A CLARA, CA 95050			2163		
				DATE MAIL ED: 12/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office A - 4' O	10/750,018	LEE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Binh V. Ho	2163					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Se	eptember 2006.						
	action is non-final.						
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	•						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-9</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·						
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
or subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12/31/2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT0	D-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		•					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/750,018 Page 2

Art Unit: 2163

DETAILED ACTION

1. As of entry of the amendment filed on 09/15/2006. Claims 1 and 7 have new matter, claims 4 and 10 have been cancel by Applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The new matter was found in claims 1 and 7, in specification, paragraph [0038], Applicant discloses, "**Or** a user defined patent classification", not "**and** a user defined patent classification".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,018

Art Unit: 2163

4. Claims 1-2, 7, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zinda (20040015481).

Page 3

(Claims 1 and 7)

Zinda discloses in figures 1-10, and 18, a system for generating structured information reports, the system being programmed to generate structured information reports for analysis, being deployed on a three-layer information system, and comprising: a parameter obtaining module for obtaining data input by a user, the data comprising downloading parameters; a downloading module for downloading data from a remote database server in accordance with the downloading parameters; a variable defining module for defining variables of a structured information report in accordance with the data input by the user; a column generating module for generating columns/rows of the structured information report in accordance with the variables of the structured information report generating module for adding the downloaded data to the structured information report (paragraph [0015], [0027], [0059], [0060], [0062], [0064], [0070], [0084], [0088]).

(Claims 2 and 9)

Zinda discloses in figures 1-10, the downloaded data being patent data.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,018 Page 4

Art Unit: 2163

6. Claims 3-6, 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zinda (20040015481) in view of Lee (20050119995).

(Claim 3)

Zinda discloses substantially all of the elements, except the data on a patent classification mode, a time mode, and a time range. Lee teaches the class search, and date restriction (paragraph [0005],[0019], [[0021], [0029], [0030], [0033], [0036], [0040]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have data on a patent classification mode, a time mode, and a time range, because it will help to narrow down the search.

(Claims 4 and 10)

Lee teaches the patent classification being the international patent classification, the United States of America patent classification, or a user defined patent classification (paragraph [0019]).

(Claim 5)

Lee teaches the time mode being a patent filing date mode, a patent publishing date mode, or a patent issuing date mode (paragraph [0019], [0033]).

(Claims 6 and 8)

Lee further teaches a report sending module for sending the structured information report to a designated user (paragraph [0034]).

Application/Control Number: 10/750,018 Page 5

Art Unit: 2163

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,018

Art Unit: 2163

Inquiry

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binh V. Ho whose telephone number is 571 272 8583. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don K. Wong can be reached on 571 272 1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Binh V Ho Examiner Art Unit 2163

DON WONG

Page 6

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100